

CANDOUR

*The British
Views - Letter*

To defend national sovereignty against the menace of international finance

Vol XLIII Nos 11/12

Registered at the G.P.O. as a Newspaper

November/December 1991

ASKING THE MONKEYS

NOEL HUNT discusses a recent poll of what people think they think

THE British public was recently polled on its views on constitutional changes. The survey showed that the public is far more ready for change than the politicians. Apparently nobody pointed out to the pollsters that individual views mean nothing in politics; and that electors are powerless to affect decisions, many of them taken against their known wishes and in blatant defiance of the manifestos on which they relied when electing Members of Parliament. It is odd indeed to find that so many people still think they can change anything by casting a vote; odd, too, to see how few have given any real thought to the issues on which they are asked to pronounce. It is a telling argument against universal suffrage.

The poll tells us that 77% favour forcing of government to hold a referendum on receipt of a million votes in a petition. It is true that there have been referenda in the past; it is true, too, that nothing could be easier than to provide for their regular holding. It is equally true that the politicians will never allow it to happen. The reason is simple: referenda, if made binding, would compel Members to do what their electors want. M.P.s will fight tooth and nail to avoid this. They are well aware that it would not be too long before the electorate had the temerity to call for referenda on such subjects as the multi-racial state, coloured immigration, the death penalty, the Common Market and other manifestations of liberalism dear to the establishment, but detested by most English people.

As usual, there is support for an elected chamber to replace the House of Lords. This is also strange, since the electorate choosing such a chamber would be separate from the common roll, and thus open to the charge of 'elitism'. This word is anathema to the Little Man who rules us today because he knows that he can never be a member of such an elite, precisely because he is a Little Man. Like every true socialist, he hates excellence in any form; more especially he hates its overt recognition, because it cannot ever include him.

It is perhaps possible that regular referenda, a Bill of Rights, a Freedom of Information Act and an elected replacement for the House of Lords would bring Utopia measurably nearer. However, none of these changes is likely to come about. What the poll really shows is a display of ignorance by an electorate that, in fact, is powerless but still thinks it controls the politicians. There is nothing new in this state of affairs; it was known in ancient Rome. In his **Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire**, Gibbon remarks: "[The Emperor] Augustus was sensible that mankind is ruled by names; nor was he deceived in his expectations, that the Senate and people would submit to slavery, provided they were respectfully assured that they still enjoyed their ancient freedom."

The position in Britain today could hardly be more accurately described. A powerless electorate is regularly assured by politicians that it is free and all-powerful. The electorate believe this nonsense because they will not, and in many cases cannot, think logically. This enables them to take part in such exercises as the MORI poll without roaring with laughter. The reason that British electors, and their politicians with them, are in fact slaves is to be found in the Treaty of Rome, to which Britain is a signatory.

For instance, Article 3(h) of the Treaty binds member states to "the approximation of their respective municipal laws to the extent necessary for the functioning of the Common Market". Further, Britain is obliged to "approximate our national law" in future legislation to E.C. law. This would, of course, include legislation dealing with such things as referenda, death penalties, a Bill of Rights, and so on. Doubly to lock our fetters, the Treaty provides, at Article 189, that E.C. Regulations shall be binding in every respect; that Directives shall bind any member state to which they are addressed. Bluntly, if the Euro-Court doesn't like it, Britain doesn't get it!

Straight Questions For The European Court

A popular saying advises asking questions of the organ grinder rather than of the monkey. In the poll which we have been considering, the pollsters have been questioning the monkeys, not the organ-grinder. What we really need to know is whether the European Court approves of the death penalty (it does not); of referenda (it does not); of the abolition of the multi-racial society and of coloured immigration (most certainly not). Clearly, so long as Britain adheres to the Treaty of Rome and the Single European Act she can never be master in her own house. Local laws allowing, say, the death penalty would simply be over-ruled by the European Court. Our Courts would, in terms of the Treaty, have no option but to bow to the Euro-Court's ruling. Until the view of the European Court is known on any questions, there is no point in asking Britons what they think - rather what they think they think! - because it doesn't matter at all.

It may be thought that the unstated intention to make Parliament powerless is exaggerated in what has been said above. So let us leave the last word to a liberal's liberal, a man of the Left, Professor Arnold Toynbee, speaking in Copenhagen in 1931: "We are engaged in a deliberate and sustained effort to impose limitations upon the sovereignty and independence of...local sovereign independent states. The surest sign, to my mind, that this fetish of local sovereignty is our intended victim is the emphasis with which all our statesmen and our politicians protest with one accord...that whatever changes we may make in the international situation, the sacred principle of local sovereignty will be maintained inviolable.... We are denying with our lips what we do with our hands."

REFERENDUM LOGIC - While agreeing with Noel Hunt's trenchant comments on the Euro-Court, we still maintain that to mass our forces on the referendum front is to fight on ground chosen by the enemy, such is the stranglehold it has on the free expression of political opinion. Have we learned nothing from the debacle of 1975? We do not need, and cannot have, referenda to decide whether we will be traitors or not. What is needed is the will to defeat treason in all its forms and take back our nation - that will be referendum enough! [R de B]

THE LETHAL LIES OF THE MEDIA MANIPULATORS

PAUL ALEXANDROVITCH FLEURET explores some of the historical bunk purveyed by our poisonous mass media

IN these terrible latter days, we behold with horror the forces of evil and darkness everywhere in the ascendant. Many **Candour** readers must wring their hands in despair at their seeming inability to do anything to stem the noxious flood of verbal poison pouring forth every hour of every day.

In this article this writer will try to demonstrate that our greatest enemy, the internationalist-controlled mass media, peddles lies continually that strike at our heart as a Christian, Western nation. By the deliberate distortion, twisting, downright misrepresentation and lies they propagate about British and European history, they are succeeding in propounding into the consciousness of the bulk of the people - enslaved to the one-eyed monster in the corner of the room which is the greatest instrument of propaganda and brainwashing ever devised - the conception of history they wish them to believe. This is indeed a far cry from Truth. In the final analysis, "Right, not Wrong!" must be our battle-cry as we seek to present the truth of history to the mass of mind-numbed cattle who make up the population of these islands and who, let it be said, have fallen hook, line and sinker, for the deliberate distortion of historic fact that the media present. Of course, this is also true in schools, universities and libraries - all those institutions that should be honourably defending the cause of Right, but instead align themselves with the satanic forces that are presently engulfing our land.

What can be done will be discussed at the conclusion of this article, but first some examples of the way in which historic truth is altered to fit in with the liberal/left-wing weltanschauung.

Tactics For Subversion

The serving up of history as such for the consumption of the general public (not the most discerning or intelligent audience at the best of times) takes the following paths. Always, but always present the leftist side of events with utmost sympathy. Quote from left-wing accounts and propaganda tracts; give their spokescreatures as much media time and access as they require, whilst systematically denying these facilities to spokesmen of a more robust, patriotic point of view. Always try to belittle the achievements of the White European race and invent "achievements" by the non-Whites to put in their place. Gloss over or simply do not mention the mass murders perpetrated by forces of the left, yet harp on unceasingly about fabulous tales of events supposed to have taken place in Hitler's Germany. Where history runs contrary to their liberal creed, dump it altogether and substitute a pack of lies that will be served up as fact and believed by the hypnotised viewers sitting in front of their television sets. One could go on ad nauseam, but the point has been made. Doubtless readers are all too familiar with these tactics of treachery and betrayal.

Let us now consider some examples from the past and see how they fit into the thesis outlined. Most educated Britons know of Hadrian's Wall. But now, we are told, the Wall was defended by a courageous army

of Black men pressed into service by the evil (White) Romans! As a professional historian, this writer will confess he has difficulty suppressing his language when reading such unashamed tripe. Hadrian's Wall was built from the years 122-129 A.D., following the visit of that much travelled Princeps to Britain. The construction work was carried out by the men of the II AUGUSTA, XX VALERIA VICTRIX and IX HISPANA Legions, who were all long service, volunteer troops, at this period of history still recruited in Italy and Gaul. The Forts and Stations of the Wall, upon completion, were defended by the Auxillia of the Imperial Army, who consisted of North European units almost exclusively along the northern frontier. Inscriptions and epigraphic evidence testify to this. For example, the troops at Vindolanda during the fourth century A.D. were the IV Cohort of Gauls from northern France, while the troops guarding Vercovicium (Housesteads) were Tungrians from Belgium. The evidence - real evidence, that is, and not just the fanciful ravings of warped liberals - points to the Wall troops being European almost to a man, and northern European to boot. There was not a single black face along the whole Northern frontier. It is also worth noting that many of the finest officers of the Roman Army were of Germanic origin, particularly from the third century A.D.

Conspiracy, Not Revolution

Turning to the twentieth century, it can be seen that there are numerous examples of leftist lies concerning historic events. Take Russia from 1917 to 1922. Anyone would think, looking at the average textbook, that the cataclysm of 1917 was the spontaneous uprising of the oppressed majority against a ruthless, exploiting ruling class. In fact, it was nothing of the kind! It was an alien-inspired, alien-imported, alien-financed conspiracy to topple a good and gentle man from his throne. Czar Nicholas II had already devoted every penny he had to the war effort and on more than one occasion had pulled the allies out of the fire, such as in 1914 when Russian armies invaded East Prussia to take the pressure off the French on the Marne and again in 1916 when the so-called Brusilov offensive was launched to help the flagging Western part of the Alliance.

For the record, here are some of the facts that the media do not care to mention about the Bolsheviks. They help us to distinguish Right from Wrong in a very evident and precise way. More innocents were killed in one year of Red terror than in eight hundred years of sane, ordered rule by the House of Romanov. Countless millions of Russians were exterminated for having the temerity to oppose the alien terror of Communism. Starting with that most loyal, courageous and noble sovereign, Czar Nicholas II, through to the aristocracy, the church, the professional classes and the peasantry, millions were liquidated. Patriarch Tikhon, head of the Russian Orthodox Church, lost his life, together with tens of thousands of priests and nuns. Of course, this is one "holocaust" nobody ever hears about.

This misrepresentation of history also extends to the bookshop. Why is it that one can walk into almost any well-known bookshop chain in Britain and under "History" find limitless editions of Trotsky-Bronstein's so-called **History of the Russian Revolution**, and yet be unable to obtain works written by those who truly spoke for Russia in those terrible years? What has happened to General Deniken's memoirs, Prime Minister Obdinsky's memoirs and the powerful, moving and truthful

account by the last commander of the White Russian Army, General Peter Wrangel, **Always With Honour?** The answer is simple. The modern perversions of history are presented thus because those who pull the strings do not want Mr and Mrs John Smith, let alone Ivan Ivanovitch, to know the truth of history.

From this assumption arise others. By denying our people knowledge of the truth, those who seek to dragoon them into a rootless, cosmopolitan mish-mash have strengthened their hand intensely. If they can successfully manipulate the history of Europe to such an extent that they can effectively present a parcel of fiction as undisputed fact to a populace rendered helpless through lack of alternative mentors, how simple is their task. All who think as we do must mount a sustained campaign to let our people know the truth of what took place in their past. We must press libraries and bookshops to stock history books that tell the truth. We must write to rebut untruthful newspaper articles; we must oppose Marxist teachers, professors and churchmen. We are running out of time, for already the great majority of the population of this land has no yardstick to measure the truth.

Ages-Old Lies And Misinformation

At one time, the master-manipulators of public opinion were content to monopolise the twentieth century, but now their lies stretch back almost to the dawn of time and hold a special hatred for the Romans. Undoubtedly, their reason is that the Romans were White Europeans who created a magnificent civilisation and empire carved from their toil, struggle and belief in a higher and noble order. Just look at the way Hannibal is feted. A recent book dealing with Hannibal's career referred to him as a "Black African". Truth is he was neither Black nor African. He was White southern Mediterranean, whose family in all likelihood originated somewhere in what is now Palestine or the Levant. True, he defeated some second-rate Roman commanders, but the real military genius of the second Punic War was the Roman General Scipio (described as "greater than Napoleon" by one expert) who defeated the Carthaginians in Spain, forced Hannibal back to Africa and then in one set battle at Zama in 202 B.C. resoundingly defeated him.

Character assassination is a favourite weapon of these people. Let us look at an example from American military history. General George Custer was without doubt one of the bravest, most patriotic, loyal and steadfast individuals ever produced in the days when the United States was still a nation. The last thirty-odd years have witnessed a scurrilous campaign of smears and villification against him, to the extent of accusing him of committing suicide at his last stand. When the General's body was found he bore two wounds, one in the chest and one in the head. A right-handed man does not usually shoot himself in the left temple. Nevertheless, the smear remains, compounded by the distribution of such tendentious rubbish as Dustin Hoffman's film, **Little Big Man**. Recently, however, a courageous American has written a book stating the truth of the affair. That must be our way forward.

We must counter the lies of the media manipulators whenever and wherever they appear. We must form active "writing groups" to liaise and work out responses to their lies. We must answer them firmly and with conviction whenever they misrepresent history - our history. Only by so doing will we ultimately show our duped people the difference between Right and Wrong, Truth and Mendacity.

A DARKER VIEW OF HISTORY

UNDER this heading, an article in **The Guardian** earlier this year reported on how history is being re-written in American schools in the name of "multi-culturalism".

"Did you know that Africa is the cradle of civilisation, that Africans sailed to America 150 years before Columbus and that religion, philosophy, mathematics and science came out of black Africa?" asked journalist Lucy Hodges. "Did you know that Napoleon deliberately shot off the nose of the Sphinx to alter its racial features so that people would not know it was African? Had you heard that Beethoven and Robert Browning were black?"

Miss Hodges reported that these ideas, based on the notion that African achievement has been denigrated by white historians, are being taught in U.S. schools as part of a deliberate attempt to right imagined past prejudices. The new teaching hinges on the idea that ancient Egypt was a black African country and that much of ancient Greek culture was "stolen" from Egypt. Apparently, city education authorities all over America are adopting "Afro-centric" curricula and any historians and classicists who sound a dissenting note do so at their peril. "Politically correct thinking" demands that such critics are immediately dismissed (often from their jobs) as "racists".

The Guardian story goes on to state that textbooks are being changed to ensure that "the full range of African achievement" is catalogued, such as the notion that Africans from Mali reached Mexico in 1312, long before Columbus sailed the Atlantic. This attack upon the curriculum is affecting not only history. In Washington D.C. a committee has been set up to supervise the dropping of great books by the likes of Mark Twain, Henry James and William Faulkner in favour of works by and about Afro-Americans, Hispanics and Asians.

The summative effect of all this nonsense is to reduce history and other humanities subjects to ethnic cheer-leading in order to pander to the demands of minority pressure-groups. By repudiating the quite marvellous inheritance that history has bestowed on it, European culture is being fragmented into a quarrelsome splutter of enclaves, ghettos and tribes. America used to boast it was a melting pot. This is now giving way to a Tower of Babel.

BANKS' LOGOS PUT YOU IN THE PICTURE

A LETTER in the **Daily Telegraph** on 2nd November reported on a discussion several small businessmen had had on the corporate images of the big four high street banks. "Lloyds Bank carries the image of a black horse identical to that fiery steed employed by England's most famous highwayman, Dick Turpin. Barclays Bank sports an emblazoned, rampant bird of prey with a razor sharp bill. Midland Bank, with a griffin, goes further than Barclays in that the mythical winged beast has the body of a lion and the head of a vulture. And finally National Westminster Bank, whose corporate logo is no more than a graphical anagram of a swastika." The writer concluded that corporate image-makers had encapsulated precisely the nature of the business conducted and methods employed by these usurious institutions!

C U T A N D T H R U S T

JANUS offers candid comment on news from home and abroad

ARCH OF CANT BACKS FAGGOTS' CHARTER? - Dr Carey, Archbishop of Canterbury has affirmed his support for a "declaration of rights" for AIDS "sufferers". Its provisions include not segregating prisoners with AIDS, not restricting movement between countries of AIDS carriers and not barring them from employment. It would seem the Archbishop is hell-bent (!) on giving all the options and rights available to the AIDS lobby, but is prepared to ignore the rights of the vast majority of the population who lead healthy and decent lives. Are they not entitled to some consideration? Dr Carey would have been better advised to lend his name to the Conservative Family Campaign's rival AIDS charter. This places more emphasis on the duties of AIDS-infected people, stating they should abstain from buggery and all other risk-related sexual antics, from procreation and from intravenous drug abuse. As Terry Dicks M.P. said, "Anyone who takes part in homosexual activities brings AIDS on themselves and if they also spread it to others they do not deserve the same consideration as everyone else."

THEIR MONEY OR THEIR LIVES? - American development aid of £14.5 million was withheld in June because the "government" of Zaire was in default on U.S. loans. This is surely unfair because every banker knows Zaire cannot pay the interest that it owes unless it borrows more money to service the unserviceable debt! Congress was also concerned about the human rights record of "President" Mobutu, although this tyrant's track-record for barbaric atrocities is probably no worse than those of all the other power-hungry fanatics who have ruled the African continent for the last three decades. We wonder which was held to be of more pressing concern in the eyes of the American Government - money or human lives? The victims of an eighteenth century highwayman certainly had no doubt.

NO NET GAIN FOR US - A couple of letters, recently published in the press, from Marie Endean, Berks County Chairman of the Campaign for an Independent Britain, highlight the way Euro-legislation is ruining our fishermen. The E.C. Court of Justice has just over-ruled our Merchant Shipping Act of 1988, so that it is now legal for foreign-owned vessels to plunder the fishing quotas earmarked for our fishermen. British quotas have been derisory ever since we joined the E.C., before which we had some of the finest breeding grounds for lobster, herring, mackerel, sole, plaice and halibut in the world. The Common Fisheries Policy opened up all these happy hunting grounds to continental predators and fish stocks are being ruthlessly depleted through Spaniards, Frenchmen and all the rest abusing their quotas. Meanwhile, our impotent and limp-wristed government insists on keeping to the letter of E.C. laws, which means we are losing all round. Presumably, this is what is meant by "sharing sovereignty". Once Russia joins the E.C. their "factory" fishing ships will make short shrift of whatever lingering stocks are left!

PHONEY PHONETICS FROM THE B.B.C. - B.B.C. English has given place to B.B.C. Speak, judging by the institution's increasing insistence on local pronunciation for foreign people and places. During the coup that never was, Boris Yeltsin became Baris Yeltsin and Moldavia became Moldava. Although most people have heard Beijing mentioned on the air

and are aware that it is really Peking, how many, we wonder, can spot Gdansk for Danzig, Yerushalayim for Jerusalem or Xizang for Tibet? The world is being quietly re-labelled, and it has its origins more than twenty years ago when the U.N. created its Group of Experts on Geographical Names. This body decided each country would be able to supply a list of its place names which would then become standard, to be used by all other nations. Thus, the English names for foreign places are being officially eclipsed, with the B.B.C. willing to deepen the chaos. One place with a new name is Auschwitz - officially it is now Oswiecim. When the Beeb eventually attempts to pronounce that mouthful, perhaps we shall all be allowed to forget the "Holocaust"!

FOOLED AGAIN IN SOUTH AFRICA? - "O Liberte, comme on t'a jouee!" were the last words of Madame Roland, one of the intellectuals who helped inspire the French Revolution, as she faced the guillotine on which she was about to die. As one who preached revolution, who was the implacable enemy of Marie Antoinette, Madame Roland had played an important role in the fooling of liberty - and of herself. It has been a recurring tragedy down the years wherever an attempt has been made to construct some mythical Utopia on a grand scale. For the masses, the revolutionaries' dream has proved a nightmare beyond endurance. And if it was true in France two hundred years ago, it is also true in South Africa today. The Communist A.N.C.'s revolution, unimpeded in its advance by the wilting will of the White man to continue to rule, is tarnished and disgraced by the use of the unspeakable "necklace". As violence and the anarchy spread like a monstrous cancer, with elderly Whites becoming increasingly victim to rape and murder, judging by newspaper cuttings sent to us from the Cape and elsewhere, Madame Roland's words echo loudly in our minds: "Oh Liberty, how you are fooled!" Will the likes of Mrs Helen Suzman ever have the honesty to voice them aloud?

SAUCE FOR THE DOWAGER? - In a Lords debate on the B.B.C.'s plans to televise the blasphemous American film, **The Last Temptation Of Christ**, Lord Hailsham attacked Broadcasting House's "irresponsibility" and asked if they realised they were endangering their future. In reply, Earl Ferrers, Minister of State, trotted out the old adage: "People don't have to switch on, and can always turn their television off," while a Labour peer recalled that in 1988 the Director of Public Prosecutions had decided that the Martin Scorsese film did not contravene criminal law. It is to be regretted that the judge and jury at Jane Birdwood's recent Old Bailey trial did not regard such a sentiment as a suitable precedent in their own situation. If the few local residents of Westminster and sundry M.P.s who complained about Lady Birdwood's leaflet distributions had been told by police that they did not have to read them and could always tear them up, we would not have witnessed the ridiculous spectacle of the D.P.P. hauling a 78-year-old lady into the Central Criminal Court for a show-trial under our Orwellian Public Order Act. The judge did, at least, give her a conditional discharge, which was a slap in the face to those zealots baying for vengeance who expected a stiff fine and/or a spell in jug. Nevertheless, Lady Birdwood faces an order to pay £500 costs. Any readers willing to help ease her financial burden should send denations directly to 31 Eastvale, Acton Vale, London W3.

Read **SPEARHEAD**. Edited by John Tyndall and published in support of the British National Party. Sample copy 90p (annual subscription U.K. £11) from P.O. Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

IS IT TRUE?

A pertinent question about multi-racialism that the liberals never answer. FRANK BROOKES wants to know why.

THE fundamental question to ask of all theological, political, scientific and other claims is simple enough: "Is it true?" It is a question that is not asked often enough. Political questions have two aspects: the moral and the factual. Church people, both clerical and lay, who get involved in political matters frequently, it seems to me, get mixed up with the two.

Church leaders in Britain, and those lay Christians bringing pressure to bear through such organisations as Justice and Peace, Pax Christi, Christian Aid and the like must now bear responsibility both for the disintegration of nations like Rhodesia and South Africa and whatever racial conflicts may lie ahead in Britain and the U.S. For such people have determinedly fought for an end to apartheid in South Africa, the idea of one person one vote, and a multi-racial society in Britain.

All these activities have been based on the argument: "All peoples are equal. God made all peoples and Jesus died for all peoples." The latter statement is purely religious. The former involves not only religion but the human sciences as well. The second statement is made in faith, the first is scientifically verifiable - or not as the case may be. Argument hinges on the term "equal".

Hypocrisy Of The Justice And Peace Brigade

During my days as leader writer on the Liverpool **Catholic Pictorial**, official organ of the Roman Catholic Archdiocese of Liverpool, I on occasion attacked the hypocrisy of Catholics who condemned apartheid in South Africa while ignoring evils perpetrated by left-wing South American countries, Israel and the Black regimes of Africa. This brought down on my head both condemnation - and support.

One priest demanded I be limited to covering weddings, while another read my leader in his church and praised it as an example of objectivity. To give credit to Archbishop Warlock, although I doubt he had much sympathy for my position he never personally brought any pressure to bear on me. But Justice and Peace people were livid that any Catholic could defend apartheid or criticise multi-racialism.

I suggest they confused two issues: the moral and the scientific/biological. Or rather that they saw them as one circumstance, with no distinction between them. While it is a matter of Christian concern how men treat one another, this concern has to be exercised within the parameters of what various men are capable of. And should the capabilities of men vary not only individually but racially, then this too must be taken into account. The Christian concern for Justice must not ignore or dispense with the Christian concern for Truth. Truth is ignored at peril. For Truth is how things are whether we like it or not.

Sadly, too, there is an arrogance among Christians who assert that one man one vote is the only way to bring about political justice. It assumes that prior to the times when voting became generally accepted in certain nations there was no justice and that since those times justice ruled. Neither is true. It also assumes that there is no diff-

erence in mental outlook between the various races. And it is now that the question "Is it true?" needs to be asked.

This question never is asked, much less ever discussed, in the British media or in the Churches at a time when a multi-racial society is being imposed on our people. Yet the question is vital for the British way of living; our modern technological, literate, articulate society depends upon the answer. If all races are "the same", then multi-racialism presents no problem. If they are different...?

History indicates that where races come into contact there is usually conflict and that the cleverer, more developed races swallow up, enslave or destroy the less well-developed. In what is now the United States of America the Amerindians were virtually wiped out by the English-speaking invaders. (As the U.S. is so concerned that South Africa should be handed over to the Blacks one wonders whether the U.S. Government will abdicate power to surviving Amerindians. Probably not. They are concerned with "majorities", and while under South African apartheid Blacks remain the majority, the White liberals of America ensured that those with red skins would not!)

Biology Or Theology?

The question has to be asked whether those Christians - Bishops and Archbishops as well as lay activists - who so strongly advocate "racial integration" really know what they are about. Are they well-informed and expert on the biological side of race or are they really only theologically informed, concerned with how men ought to behave towards one another but actually ignorant about the parameters in which this behaviour must be exercised?

No Cardinal entering hospital would consent to be operated on by a motor mechanic rather than a surgeon despite the "equality" of men. Why then should he advocate the operation of a highly complex technical European society by people from backgrounds whose historical development appears to show no inclination towards the formation of a similar kind of society?

Religion has nothing to say about all this other than to put the question "Is it true?" If the answer is "Yes" then what the Bishops and other Christian leaders say about how men should behave towards one another must change from what they are saying now! They have the duty to know what they are talking about on the racial issue - biologically as well as morally. For morals must operate in the realms of the real world where the first question must always be "Is it true?"

MISSION IMPOSSIBLE? - We read in the latest issue of **Mission Today** that following the murder of two sisters who ran a home for homeless children and other acts of harassment against religious, Fr John Vattamattan, an Indian priest, wrote a letter to the Prime Minister of India stating, "You, I am sure, are aware that we Catholics are less than a mere three per cent of India's population. But it is we who provide thirty per cent of education and health care in the nation..." In the state of Arunachal Pradesh a Christian leader was imprisoned for thirteen days for offering the Church's support to families whose village had been destroyed by fire. Conversions to Christianity are generally banned. What a lovely lot the Hindoos are!

BEDEVILLING THE HIGH PRIESTS OF CONSENSUS REALITY

Reviewed by JACK WIKOFF

Lucifer's Lexicon is a collection of aphorisms that leaves no sacred cow unscathed. It is a litmus test of how capable people are of applying scepticism to their own pet "isms". Lay this book with its bright red cover on your coffee table and watch the fun begin! Here are some examples:

Affirmative Action. The White Man's New Burden.

Agnostic. A God-fearing atheist.

Animal Liberationist. One who fights for the rights of rats; one who proves that egalitarianism is for the birds.

Anti-Racist. One who is prejudiced against the prejudiced and intolerant of the intolerant; one who hates the haters and discriminates against the discriminators.

Begin, Menachem. An Ashkenazi war criminal still at large; one of the murderers among us Simon Wiesenthal is not hunting.

Black Muslims. Allah-God's chillun.

Dual Loyalist. One who simultaneously pledges allegiance to the Stars and Stripes out of one side of his mouth and to the Star of David out of the other.

Hypocrite. One who practises vice while preaching versa; a human being

Pacifist. A fist which strikes no blows, not even in self-defence. One who, when kicked in the arse, turns the other cheek.

Taxpayer. One who renders unto a seizer what is not the seizer's. One who feeds the mouth that puts the bite on him. An April fool

Lucifer's Lexicon by L.A. Rollins. Paperback, 135 pp, ISBN 0915179431. \$9.95 including postage for U.S. readers; we suggest £10 for U.K. readers. Available from Loompanics Unlimited, P.O. Box 1197, Port Townsend, WA 98368, U.S.A.

JACK WIKOFF is editor of **Remarks**, a bi-monthly journal devoted largely to historical revisionism. Annual subscription for U.K. readers \$22. Write to Jack Wikoff, P.O. Box 234, Aurora, NY 13026, U.S.A.

AIDS-MEMOIRE

"FOUR weeks ago I criticised my fellow doctors for scaremongering over the presumed 'epidemic of heterosexual AIDS'. Certainly numbers are rising, but analysis of 113 patients at St Thomas' Hospital Special Clinic shows that almost all were either drug addicts, bisexuals, of African origin or with partners in those categories. For only two were there no identifiable risk factors. A fortnight later **The Lancet** published data from two other hospitals in London confirming these findings. At St Stephen's Hospital, only 15 out of 252 cases of 'heterosexual AIDS' had no identifiable risk factor. From Charing Cross Hospital the figure was 5 out of 44 (four out of these five were two married couples, suggesting that important information might have been withheld). The point is that there obviously is a risk, although it is miniscule when set against the millions of heterosexual encounters that have taken place in those areas of London over the past few years. Quite clearly, however, there is not a 'growing epidemic'."

Dr JAMES LE FANU in **The Sunday Telegraph**, 25th August.

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

[and some comments in reply!]

The Guildford Four

MADAM - In the article entitled "Five-Star Penal Servitude" I found your reference to the Guildford Four in very poor taste. The imprisonment of four innocent people for criminal activities which in the past would have resulted in execution has appalled me. Consequently, I now oppose capital punishment. I also note that the misdemeanours of the British Army in Ulster are not mentioned in **Candour**. I stress the fact that I do not support terrorism - B.O'D. (London)

WE differ in thinking of the "Fours", "Sixes" or whoever as necessarily innocent simply because guilt without doubt fails to be proved in a court of law. Rightly or wrongly, it is a war that is being fought in Northern Ireland. If soldiers during the First World War had been asked to prove in the civil court just which individual Germans were involved in any particular battle, engagement or raid, I am sure all the trials would have ended in acquittals.

As to the "misdemeanours of the British Army in Ulster", I don't know which ones are referred to: breaches of discipline are subject to military courts. British soldiers have been accused of "unlawful killing" when they have shot when under attack - one of them was 18 years old. I do not doubt that you "do not support terrorism", but surely in Ulster something less negative is required? If all Catholics actively opposed the Irish Republican Army it would be impossible for their members to whisk away evidence of ambush or hold public funerals for their "casualties" - the self-proclaimed enemies of the soldiers who are deputed to watch the proceedings "with a low profile"! There would also be at least an equal conviction rate in cases of killing by both I.R.A. and U.D.A. instead of a marked preponderance of Protestant murderers caught and sentenced.

The reason that there should be a "shoot to kill" policy in Ulster or in any war or rebellion is that that is what soldiers are for. The men in the British Army cannot opt out of duty in Ulster or the Gulf or any operation. They have to go where they are ordered and do their duty whatever they think of the high command - if they think at all or know who they are. The civilian population, on the contrary, are at liberty to come and go as they please - R. de B.

A Capital Idea

MADAM - I very much agree with your comments on the need for the restoration of the death penalty and, in particular, your refreshing emphasis on retribution and restitution rather than deterrence. I would also say that in cases where there has been wanton criminal damage, such as an arson attack or a violent attack upon an individual, the criminal, as well as receiving a long prison sentence, should also suffer some corporal punishment. We should repeal the provisions in the 1948 Criminal Justice Act prohibiting the use of corporal punishment by the courts and cease to adhere to the European Convention on Human Rights - A.R.B. (London)

OH yes, I am in favour of both corporal and capital punishment, too. Rather a flogging or a hanging in this world than damnation in the next. It seems to be liberal humanist societies that never want to punish anyone. It is all "rehabilitation" on account of men being "inherently good". Ask any old-fashioned priest what baptism and confession are for. I suppose really the establishment is to blame in that it has repudiated God's commandments. If we won't have Christ the King we have the Money Power instead and that has brought us to what looks like perpetual conflict in society - R. de B.

Benetton's Colours Starting To Run?

MADAM - There are Benetton shops throughout the south east, where I have many friends and we regularly shop. I deplore the "United Colors (sic)" manifestations of Benetton's advertising. As a Roman Catholic, I abhor and find offensive a black dressed priest kissing a white dressed nun. Even though sex and miscegenation may be the criteria of Benetton's advertisements, they are not the moving spirit of vocations to the priesthood and the convents. A White woman suckling a Black baby is obscene, abnormal and unlikely. I believe the majority of women in this country seek secluded situations to suckle their natural offspring. What other cultures do in their natural habitats has no place in our society.

As for a black lamb and a white wolf in another Benetton advertisement, it seems to be nothing short of anti-White incitement. Has the Race Relations organisation been informed or is it in cahoots with Benetton? As a lawyer, I feel such provocation is against the Race Relations Act. Suppose it were a white lamb and a black wolf: what would the reaction be then? I will certainly take up the contents of the advertisements with my Inn of Court and the Bar Council unless such offensive material is withdrawn. Meanwhile, I will not only boycott Benetton stores myself, but will not recommend shopping in them to my friends and acquaintances - M.H. (Lewes)

BEWARE THE JOYS OF CAPITALISM

"ISN'T it wonderful that Russia has ditched Communism? Now they can discover the joys of capitalism!... [They] will be able to read 57 varieties of pornography which our great liberal system has made possible... They will be able to lie back with 35 hours a week of soap opera, games shows and, at Christmas, advertisements for expensive toys cunningly devised to maximise greed in children and guilt in parents... Soon, they will be familiar with the particular form of private enterprise rampant in London - when every telephone booth is crammed with prostitutes' advertisements for 'special services', from spanking to foot fetishism... Will they inherit the diseases of capitalism, with 85 per cent of teenage girls obsessed with dieting? ... When they find out about bank charges and interest rates, and how mortgages can be foreclosed and young couples with babies made homeless - it will all seem very bewildering indeed... Capitalism means full supermarket shelves - but it also means the lager lout, the porn merchant, the mortgage fraudster and the bankruptcy court."

MARY KENNY in *The Sunday Telegraph*, 1st September

A CASE FOR REPROBATION

"THOUGH I've long been convinced that the world has gone barking mad, I never dreamed the dementia was now so advanced that it is no longer acceptable even to hint that love between the sexes is normal or natural behaviour. No indeed. According to the National Association of Probation Officers, anyone who suggests that boy meeting girl is a better way of proceeding than boy meeting boy is guilty of the offence of 'heterosexism', and should be taken to task.

"I promise I'm not making this up. They had anti-discrimination monitors out at their conference in Llandudno last week to check that no hint of heterosexism crept into the debates. Such is their enthusiasm that they've invented other offences, too, like 'ageism', 'sizeism' and 'disablism'.... In their dim zealotry the probation officers have embraced a trend which is as ugly as it is alarming. The cult of Political Correctness is already wrecking public and academic life in the United States. It's spreading here. And a day will surely dawn when none but the bravest will dare to jeer."

From the "Current Events" column of **The Sunday Express**, 20th October.

*

AT conference at Llandudno came members of N.A.P.O. To iron-out the pro and con of offenders in probation And to show in their debate they're "with-it" and so up-to-date. They had sussed-out biology, made taboo, but emphatically, The habit, cult, of heterosex these peers did find too much to vex! Oh dear, is this a wind of change towards a one-world dull and strange Where sex is put right out of sight and everyone's hermaphrodite?

B.E. BIGGS

WISHFUL THINKING

ON hearing of the I.R.A.'s bomb attack on a Belfast hospital on 2nd November, Mr Richard Needham, Northern Ireland Office minister, said, "Nobody who believes in democracy is ever going to give in to these people...not on this side of hell and hell is where these people ought to be." Of course, the one sure way for Mr Needham and his Government to despatch the I.R.A. bombers to hell would be to hang them when they are caught - always agreeing that between the end of the rope and the ground they would mercy seek and mercy find. In reality, the culprits, if caught, are likely to find themselves acquitted, with compensation for police misdemeanour, and thus able to finance their local cell of the I.R.A. to continue its murderous atrocities.

THE SICKNESS OF THE WEST

DR Kalim Siddiqui, from the London-based Muslim Institute, has told **The Sunday Telegraph** he does not think there is a Christian culture here since prostitution, gambling and homosexuality - everything mankind has regarded as evil - have been legalised. How right he is! As **Candour** has so cogently said in the past, it is high time people obeyed the laws of God rather than legalised the lusts of Man!

THE SHOOTER SHOTS AND RUNS

SETTING aside the rhetoric and resentments inevitably to be expected in the opening speeches at the Madrid Mid-East summit, there was much that should have appealed to an Israeli government genuinely interested in peace. But not so Mr Shamir. His refusal to acknowledge the emotive issue of land stolen from the Palestinians resulted in a performance that was, at best, singularly lacking in imagination and, at worst, a calculated insult and provocation. The Syrians quickly discovered this on 1st November when Shamir denounced their country as "the home of a host of terrorist organisations that spread violence and death to all kinds of innocent targets." Has he, perhaps, forgotten the murderous activities of the Israeli occupation army in southern Lebanon?

In Shamir's absorption with the present, we wonder why it is always the past that does not impinge on his mind - events such as the massacre at Deir Yassin, the bombing of the King David Hotel or the treacherous assassination of Count Bernadotte? Fortunately, the Syrians' memories are longer, their Foreign Minister, Mr Farouk Sharaa, being quick to respond to Shamir's smears with a forceful reminder of the Israeli premier's own terrorist past. Waving a leaflet showing Shamir during his days as an underground leader wanted by the British authorities in Palestine, Mr Sharaa referred to his involvement in the machine-gunning to death of Count Folke Bernadotte in Jerusalem in 1948 while on a U.N. mission of peace. "He killed the peace mediator and then he talks of Syria and Lebanon being involved in terrorism." Mr Sharaa's riposte was delivered to an empty chair - Shamir had skulked off home for the Sabbath!

OLD SAW FROM THE 'FIFTIES - OR IS IT A SORE POINT?

"WE walk on coal and are surrounded by fish, so it would take an administrative genius to create a shortage of either" - ANEURIN BEVAN.

Query: Who was the administrative genius?

DANTE AND USURY: "GREENS" PLEASE NOTE AND ACT UPON!

"DANTE'S thought in this passage (which is that of the Mediaeval Church) is of such urgent relevance today [our italics - Ed.] that it is worthwhile to disentangle it from his (to us) rather odd and unfamiliar phraseology. What he is saying is that there are only two sources of real wealth: Nature and Art - or, as we should put it, Natural Resources and the Labour of Man. The buying and selling of money as though it were a commodity creates only spurious wealth, and results in injury to the earth (Nature) and the exploitation of labour (Art). The attitude to men and things which this implies is a kind of blasphemy; since Art derives from Nature, as Nature derives from God, so contempt of them is contempt of Him."

DOROTHY SAYERS in her translation of Dante's *Inferno* (1949)

"DANTE consigned usurers to hell, to the same circle of hell as sodomites because both are against nature, against natural increase."

A.K. CHESTERTON in *Candour* (somewhen in the 1950s!)

AN ACT OF PARLIAMENT

A SIT-COM and a mystery!
A farce albeit tragedy;
Dramatis Personae hereon
Lib, Lab and the almighty Con.
The scene is set thematically
Towards a non-sovereignty
For ancient kingdom to retire
In Continental fake empire
And in an earnest of debate
Treason becomes most intimate!

Plot and counter-plot beholding
National fervour is unfolding
In the East the Sun has risen
On an empire in division;
Yet in the playhouse - loud applause,
Despite contrariness of Cause.
The bland illogicality
Propounded by Unholy Three
The war of words goes on and on
Each in support of "pro" and "con".

The public in the gallery
Sit bemused, hypnotically;
Not a whimper, not a blush,
Brainwashed, obedient, in hush.
The players - lead, and the bit parts
Exude all sycophantic arts
The script, the dialogue urbane
Is mete for those of puppet strain.
Goodbye to Kingdom, goodbye Reds
The whoremonger sleeps in both beds!

REVERSE THRUST

NO Royals to murder,
No gangster import,
No signs of the purdah
Iron Curtain once brought;
A new revolution
To undo the past,
Bizarre convolution
A turnabout vast.
The West is delighted
To preen and opine
At Soviet blighted
And Commie decline;
Will tender assistance
To straighten things out
Afford some resistance
Give rouble some clout.
How strange that this empire
So mineral rich
Its harvest entire
The reason for which
A German Dictator
Took war to the East
For lebensraum greater
Other conquests the least.
Despite such potential
Failed economy
As if an essential
Soviet policy?
The West to the rescue!
Cold War put on ice!
Is it all Devil due
Or International device?

OPEN SESAME is an anthology of the poems of B.E. BIGGS. Only £1 from Candour Publishing Company, Forest House, Liss Forest, Hants GU33 7DD.

VIDEO FILMS FROM FOREST HOUSE. Send an s.a.e. for our new list of VHS video tapes featuring topics of historical and political interest.
VINTAGE NATIONALIST LITERATURE. Send an s.a.e. for our price list.
Candour Research Department, Forest House, Liss Forest, Hants GU33 7DD

PLEASE GO TO YOUR LOCAL LIBRARY AND ASK FOR CANDOUR TO BE ORDERED!

WARM WISHES TO ALL OUR READERS FOR A HOLY CHRISTMAS SEASON AND A RIGHT AND PROSPEROUS NEW YEAR FROM CANDOUR'S EDITOR AND STAFF

CANDOUR SUBSCRIPTION RATES

U.K. £10 OVERSEAS £12 U.S. \$25 AIRMAIL PER ANNUM

Please make cheques payable to THE A.K. CHESTERTON TRUST

Printed and published by the A.K. Chesterton Trust, Forest House, Liss Forest, Hampshire GU33 7DD, England [Editor: Rosine de Bounevialle]